

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09934479 First Named Applicant: Yoko Fujiwara
 Examiner: J. A. Brier Art Unit: 2628 Status of Application: after final

Tentative Participants:

(1) Examiner Brier (2) William Rowland
 (3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: August 3, 2010 Proposed Time: 2:00 PM (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>101</u>	<u>17</u>	_____	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>112</u>	<u>all</u>	_____	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☒ Continuation Sheet Attached

☐ Proposed Amendment or Arguments Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

see attached

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

/Jeffery A. Brier/

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

William C. Rowland

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

30,888

Registration Number, if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of)	MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
Yoko Fujiwara et al.)	Group Art Unit: 2628
Application No.: 09/934,479)	Examiner: JEFFERY A BRIER
Filed: August 23, 2001)	Confirmation No.: 8426
For: IMAGE PROCESSING DEVICE,)	
IMAGE PROCESSING METHOD,)	
AND IMAGE PROCESSING)	
PROGRAM FOR)	
RECONSTRUCTING DATA)	

ATTACHMENT TO FORM PTOL-413A

35 USC 101:

Claims 17, 24 – 26, 30, and 44 have been rejected as allegedly directed to nonstatutory subject matter. In response thereto, claim 17 has been amended to tie the claim to a machine, i.e., a scanner. Applicant would like to discuss why the Examiner alleges that the step using a **scanner** is an "extra-solution" step. Applicants would also like to discuss why the "reconstructing" step transforms underlying subject matter. Alternatively, Applicants would like to discuss other possible claim amendments that may be of interest to the Examiner.

35 USC 112:

Applicants would like to discuss which portions of the specification relate to the "means for detecting a user selection" in claim 1. In the response filed on February 2, 2010, Applicants set forth a detailed explanation of step 101, step 102, the step before

101, and step 103. The response further explained that the “means for detecting a user selection” of claim 1 relates to the scanning process in Step 101 in which line 12 is detected. However, the claims are not limited to the preferred disclosed embodiments. In the last response, the Office Action did not address the Applicants' response and explanation. Applicants would like to have a discussion concerning this matter.